

Les Baugh
County Member

Irwin Fust
Special District Member

Larry Farr
City Member

Mary Rickert
County Member Alternate

Stan Neutze
City Member

Brenda Haynes
Special District Member

Francie Sullivan
City Member Alternate



Dick Fyten
Public Member

Bob Richardson
Public Member Alternate

David Kehoe
County Member

George Williamson
Executive Officer

Stephen Morgan
Special District Alternate

James M. Underwood
General Counsel

Kathy Bull
Office Manager

Agenda Item: 8.b.

Meeting Date: June 1, 2017

From: George Williamson, Executive Officer & Kathy Bull, Office Manager

Subject: Approval of Resolutions on Previously Approved MSR/SOI Updates

Background:

The Shasta LAFCO Commission previously approved Municipal Service Review/Sphere of Influence (MSR/SOI) with updated maps on the following Agencies. Although the MSR/SOI's with maps were approved, Resolutions were not included with Commission material for adoption of the approved action.

Discussion:

Resolutions should be included with MSR/SOI and map materials provided to the Commission for approval but were excluded upon adoption. For the purposes of administrative formality, staff is seeking Commission approval for thirteen Resolutions for previously approved MSR/SOI's with maps. This action does not alter previous or future approval of the MSR/SOI's and maps and does not require public notice. The following is a list of Agencies with MSR/SOI approval date whose Resolutions are attached with this report:

1. Clear Creek CSD – 12/4/2014 – RES 2014-36
2. Mayors Healthcare District – 11/6/2014
RES 2014-68
3. Mountain Gate CSD – 6/5/14 – RES 2014-16
4. Shasta Lake FPD – 9/4/2014 – RES 2014-23
and 11/6/2014 RES 2014-32
5. Tucker Oaks WD – 6/5/2014 – RES 2014-26
6. CSA #9 – Whispering Oaks – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-81
7. CSA #10 – Shasta Lakes Ranchos
12/18/2014- RES 2014-82
8. CSA #12 – Holiday Acres – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-83
9. CSA #18 – Cairns Road – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-85
10. CSA #19 – River Oaks – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-86
11. CSA #21 – Anderwood – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-87
12. CSA #24 – White Oak – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-89
13. CSA #26 – Knighton Road – 12/18/2014
RES 2014-90

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Commission approve the listed Resolutions for the purposes of administrative formality as provided, or provide direction to staff as needed.

Attachment: Resolutions for above listed agencies 1-13

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-36

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING CORRECTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE
REVIEW & SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE CLEAR CREEK COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Clear Creek Community Services District was formed in 1963; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Clear Creek Community Services District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Clear Creek Community Services District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Clear Creek Community Services District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:
 - A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

Shasta County designates much of the area served as rural residential, some

commercial, some agricultural, and oak woodland uses. The Shasta County General Plan and Zoning Ordinance establishes and enforces current planning and zoning uses permitted within the District and within its SOI boundary. This is a rural area, with community development either clustered around County-approved subdivisions or scattered about on secondary roads.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

The District has ongoing capital improvement programs to maintain and upgrade service systems. Its Master Plan has been updated to accommodate additional growth needs and service connections.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

District facilities are adequate for current service needs. It has the capacity to serve the areas within the proposed sphere of influence boundaries, with extension of services tied to development of parcels.

Based upon the topography and some of the naturally defined boundaries, all of the areas included within the District and its sphere of influence can be efficiently serviced by District facilities through gravity flow delivery systems, the construction of new mainline extensions, storage reservoirs, and the addition of pump stations in a few areas of higher elevation. New development will be required to install new infrastructure at the time of construction.

Historically, the reliability of this water source has been excellent; however, it has been necessary to exercise conservation measures during extreme drought conditions. In general, the District's annual average water usage is approximately 25% of the total contracted amounts; therefore, the District has a substantial supply available for future use.

Regarding water treatment capacity, the Centerville CSD has a dedicated capacity of 25% in the treatment plant located at the base of the Whiskeytown Dam. The District owns this facility (the water treatment plant) and is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the Muletown Conduit while the USBR retains ownership. Centerville Community Services District is also responsible for 25% of the O&M of the Muletown conduit.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

The District is located just west of the City of Redding and is served by the Happy Valley Fire Protection District, an independent special district that provides fire protection and emergency rescue services. The District shares interagency

agreements with a number of local, county, state, and federal agencies.

The Clear Creek CSD has a limited number of commercial, industrial, and public customers within its boundaries; the majority of customers served are residential uses. The City of Redding provides a major shopping and service industry hubs for local residents.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified earlier, the District service area may qualify as a disadvantaged unincorporated community (less than 80% of the state average) under certain circumstances.

Shasta County is in the process of undertaking a study of these DUCs throughout the county as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI Update which will be due in 2019. This study simply identifies the first step in that process: that areas within the District may qualify as a DUC and will be evaluated as such in future projects.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Clear Creek Community Services District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on November 20, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman
Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map

Exhibit B. Staff Report – Correct SOI Boundary

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-68

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING CORRECTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE
REVIEW & SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE MAYERS MEMORIAL HEALTHCARE DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Mayers Memorial Healthcare District was formed in 1969; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Mayers Memorial Healthcare District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Mayers Memorial Healthcare District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Mayers Memorial Healthcare District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:

A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

Shasta County designates much of the area served as residential, rural residential, some agricultural, and outlying timber lands. This is a rural area, with community development either clustered around State Route 299 West or scattered about on secondary roads. Availability of water guides where development will occur. The District Five Year Plans are updated regularly and provides guidance for addressing the impacts of future growth.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

Availability of water guides where development will occur. The District Five Year Plans are updated regularly and provides guidance for addressing the impacts of future growth.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

District facilities are adequate for current service needs. It has the capacity to serve the areas within the proposed sphere of influence boundaries, with the cost of extension of services tied to future service needs, such as development of parcels.

The District provides a critical access hospital including acute care, emergency, obstetrics, hospice, ambulance, radiology, laboratory, surgery, outpatient, wound care, respiratory, cardiac rehabilitation, physical therapy, and long term care. The District service area is greater than the territory within its current boundaries; therefore the District is proposing annexation of the service areas outside its boundaries.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

Local shopping takes place within the Intermountain area (mostly Fall River Valley and Burney), and the City of Redding provides a major shopping and service industry hub to the west for local residents. Residents in Modoc county visit Alturas, and those in Lassen County do travel to Susanville. Reno is another major shopping area for all residents. Some people regularly go to Klamath Falls for shopping as well.

The Redding Area Bus Authority (RABA) operates the Burney Express, a daily bus between Redding and Burney that accommodates citizens for travel to the valley for doctor appointments and major shopping. This bus currently is a one-day

ride, leaving in the morning and returning at night. Expansion of this service to two or three trips per day would permit a great number of people to take advantage of RABA services. This is the only public transportation service within the Districts service area.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified in the MSR section, Mayers Memorial Healthcare District service area qualifies as a disadvantaged unincorporated community by virtue of its median income. Shasta County is undertaking a study of these DUCs as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI update.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Mayers Memorial Healthcare District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on November 6, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAINS:
ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman
Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map
Exhibit B. Staff Report – Correct SOI Boundary

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-16

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING CORRECTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE
REVIEW & SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE MOUNTAIN GATE COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Mountain Gate Community Services District was formed in 1956; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Mountain Gate Community Services District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Mountain Gate Community Services District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Mountain Gate Community Services District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:

A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

Shasta County designates much of the area served as rural residential, agricultural, and timber lands. This is a rural area, with community development either clustered around the Mountain Gate or scattered about on secondary roads.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

The District has ongoing capital improvement programs to maintain and upgrade services systems. The 2007 Master Water Plan addresses the details of this identified work.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

District facilities are adequate for current service needs. It has the capacity to serve the areas within the proposed sphere of influence boundaries, with extension of services tied to development of parcels. The District's policies and requirements for delivery of services are available at its business office in Mountain Gate.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

The District has a close relationship geographically with the City of Shasta Lake, the Bella Vista Water District, CSA #1 Shasta County Fire, Shasta Lake FPD, and the Bureau of Reclamation. The City of Redding, 12 miles south, is a major shopping and service industry hub for local residents.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified in the MSR section, Mountain Gate qualifies as disadvantaged communities. Shasta County is undertaking a study of these DUCs as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI update.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Mountain Gate Community Services District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on June 5, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman
Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map

Exhibit B. Staff Report – Correct SOI Boundary

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-23

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW &
SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE SHASTA LAKE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District was formed in 1994; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Shasta Lake Fire Protection District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:

A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

The City of Shasta Lake designates much of the area served as residential, commercial and industrial, with some larger lots in the outlying areas. Shasta County designates the unincorporated areas of the District as rural residential and some agricultural uses. This is a rural area, with community area emerging into more urban uses with development either clustered within Shasta Lake city limits, or scattered about on secondary roads to the east.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

The District identified ongoing capital improvement and staffing needs and has adopted plans to maintain and upgrade existing services systems.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

Facilities are adequate for the size of the community it serves, but improvements will need to be made in several areas identified in their Five Year Strategic Plan. Extension of services will necessarily be tied to development of parcels, both within the City and within the unincorporated areas of the District.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

The District is located just north of the City of Redding. It is expected the City of Shasta Lake will begin growing again as the economy improves. The cities of Redding and Anderson both provide additional major shopping and service industry hubs for local residents.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified in the MSR section, the District service area qualifies as a disadvantaged community. The City of Shasta Lake will be undertaking a study of disadvantage communities within city limits as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI update.

Although there is no unincorporated territory currently within the City, the City will be undertaking a study of disadvantaged communities, as defined in Senate Bill 244, for disadvantaged areas located within their boundaries during their

next General Plan update. Data from that work will provide additional information for use by Shasta LAFCO for an expanded analysis of this designation, and by the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District in its long range planning activities and plans.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Shasta Lake Fire Protection District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on September 4, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman
Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map

Exhibit B. SOI Update 2014

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-32

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING CORRECTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE
REVIEW & SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE SHASTA LAKE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District was formed in 1994; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Shasta Lake Fire Protection District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:

A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

The City of Shasta Lake designates much of the area served as residential, commercial and industrial, with some larger lots in the outlying areas. Shasta County designates the unincorporated areas of the District as rural residential and some agricultural uses. This is a rural area, with community area emerging into more urban uses with development either clustered within Shasta Lake city limits, or scattered about on secondary roads to the east.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

The District identified ongoing capital improvement and staffing needs and has adopted plans to maintain and upgrade existing services systems.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

Facilities are adequate for the size of the community it serves, but improvements will need to be made in several areas identified in their Five Year Strategic Plan. Extension of services will necessarily be tied to development of parcels, both within the City and within the unincorporated areas of the District.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

The District is located just north of the City of Redding. It is expected the City of Shasta Lake will begin growing again as the economy improves. The cities of Redding and Anderson both provide additional major shopping and service industry hubs for local residents.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified in the MSR section, the District service area qualifies as a disadvantaged community. The City of Shasta Lake will be undertaking a study of disadvantage communities within city limits as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI update.

Although there is no unincorporated territory currently within the City, the City will be undertaking a study of disadvantaged communities, as defined in Senate Bill 244, for disadvantaged areas located within their boundaries during their

next General Plan update. Data from that work will provide additional information for use by Shasta LAFCO for an expanded analysis of this designation, and by the Shasta Lake Fire Protection District in its long range planning activities and plans.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Shasta Lake Fire Protection District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on November 6, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman
Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map

Exhibit B. Staff Report – Correct SOI Boundary

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-26

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING CORRECTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE
REVIEW & SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE TUCKER OAKS WATER DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Tucker Oaks Water District was formed in 1983; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Tucker Oaks Water District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Tucker Oaks Water District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Tucker Oaks Water District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:

A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

Shasta County designates much of the area served as rural residential; agricultural, and timber lands. This is a rural area, with community development either clustered around the Mountain Gate or scattered about on secondary roads.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

The District has ongoing capital improvement programs to maintain and upgrade services systems.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

District facilities are adequate for current service needs. It has the capacity to serve the areas within the proposed sphere of influence boundaries, with extension of services tied to development of parcels.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

The District is located just south of the City of Redding, is served by CSA #1 Shasta County Fire. The City of Redding provides a major shopping and service industry hub for local residents.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified in the MSR section, the Tucker Oaks Water District service area qualifies as a disadvantaged community. Shasta County is undertaking a study of these DUCs as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI update.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Tucker Oaks Water District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on June 5, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map

Exhibit B. Staff Report – Correct SOI Boundary

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-26

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING CORRECTED WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS AND UPDATING THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE
REVIEW & SPHERE OF INFLUENCE OF THE TUCKER OAKS WATER DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the Tucker Oaks Water District was formed in 1983; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Officer, pursuant to the Government Code Section 56000 *et seq.*, has prepared a municipal service review of this agency, and a proposed updated sphere of influence boundary recommendation based upon this analysis for the County Service Area Tucker Oaks Water District; and

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, the Executive Officer has given notice of the public hearing by the Commission on the proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard, discussed and considered all evidence for and against the proposal, including but not limited to, the Executive Officer's analysis and proposed conditions and recommendations, the environmental document, applicable general and specific plans, and all testimony, correspondence and exhibits received prior to or during this noticed public hearing, all of which are included herein by reference.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The project before the Commission is a proposed update of the Tucker Oaks Water District's Sphere of Influence Boundary and acceptance of its related Municipal Services Review.
2. Shasta LAFCO, as the lead agency, circulated a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Statutory Exemption for this project, responded to written comments received during the review period and hereby certifies a Statutory Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Section 21083 PRC & CEQA GC Section 15262) for the proposed update of its Sphere of Influence.
3. The Executive Officer applied for and received a No Effect Determination from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife for this project.
4. The Commission, pursuant to Government Code Section 56425, makes the following specified determinations based upon data within the municipal service review to update the Tucker Oaks Water District's Sphere of Influence Boundary:

A. *Present and planned land uses in the area.*

Shasta County designates much of the area served as rural residential; agricultural, and timber lands. This is a rural area, with community development either clustered around the Mountain Gate or scattered about on secondary roads.

B. *Present and probable need for public facilities; adequacy of services.*

The District has ongoing capital improvement programs to maintain and upgrade services systems.

C. *Present capacity of the public facilities and adequacy of public services that the agency provides or is authorized to provide.*

District facilities are adequate for current service needs. It has the capacity to serve the areas within the proposed sphere of influence boundaries, with extension of services tied to development of parcels.

D. *Existence of any social or economic communities of interest in the area if the Commission determines that they are relevant to the agency.*

The District is located just south of the City of Redding, is served by CSA #1 Shasta County Fire. The City of Redding provides a major shopping and service industry hub for local residents.

E. *Present and probable needs of disadvantaged unincorporated communities (DUCs) within the area.*

As identified in the MSR section, the Tucker Oaks Water District service area qualifies as a disadvantaged community. Shasta County is undertaking a study of these DUCs as part of their General Plan update and additional information should be available for an expanded analysis of this designation during the next MSR/SOI update.

5. The Executive Officer is hereby directed to complete Update proceedings, and to schedule the subsequent review and update, as necessary, of this Agency's municipal service review and sphere of influence boundary five years from this approval date as required by statute.

6. The Tucker Oaks Water District Sphere of Influence is hereby updated and approved as presented on the attached map.

Adopted on June 5, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

Exhibit A. Map

Exhibit B. Staff Report – Correct SOI Boundary

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-82

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #10 – SHASTA LAKES RANCHOS**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #10 – Shasta Lakes Ranchos has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #10 – Shasta Lakes Ranchos which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #10- Shasta Lakes Ranchos has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #10 – Shasta Lakes Ranchos indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-83

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #12 – HOLIDAY ACRES**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #12 – Holiday Acres has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #12 – Holiday Acres which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #12- Holiday Acres has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #12 – Holiday Acres indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-85

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #18 – CAIRNS ROAD**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #18 – Cairns Road has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #18 – Cairns Road which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #18- Cairns Road has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #18 – Cairns Road indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-86

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #19 – RIVER OAKS**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #19 – River Oaks has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #19 – River Oaks which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #19- River Oaks has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #19 – River Oaks indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-87

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #21 – ANDERWOOD**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #21 – Anderwood has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #21 – Anderwood which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #21- Anderwood has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #21 – Anderwood indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-89

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #24 – WHITE OAK**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #24 – White Oak has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #24 – White Oak which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #24- White Oak has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #24 – White Oak indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission

LAFCO RESOLUTION 2014-90

**RESOLUTION OF THE SHASTA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION
MAKING DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A ZERO SPHERE OF INFLUENCE FOR
COUNTY SERVICE AREA #26 – KNIGHTON ROAD**

WHEREAS, County Service Area (CSA) #26 – Knighton Road has neither collected funds nor conducted business for at last 10 years; and;

WHEREAS, Shasta LAFCO has assigned a zero sphere of influence boundary for CSA #26 – Knighton Road which allows dissolution of the CSA; and;

WHEREAS, in the manner required by law, Shasta LAFCO has provided public notice of this hearing as described under Government Code Sections 56151 through 56159; and

WHEREAS, all interested parties and proponents were heard at a public hearing held on November 20, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The Commission finds CSA #26- Knighton Road has not conducted business for at least 10 years.
3. The Commission hereby adopts a zero Sphere of Influence for CSA #26 – Knighton Road indicating the CSA is no longer necessary and therefore dissolved.

Adopted on December 18, 2014. This Resolution for that adoption approved on June 1, 2017, by the following votes:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAINS:

ABSENT:

Dated: _____

Irwin Fust, Chairman

Shasta Local Agency Formation Commission